

Preface

Acknowledgements

This document is a product of the Pacific Northwest Ecosystem Research Consortium (PNW-ERC), a regional research consortium involving researchers at Oregon State University, the University of Oregon, the University of Washington and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency supported under cooperative agreement CR824682 between the Environmental Protection Agency and the universities. The research of the Consortium intends to 1) create a regional context for interpreting trajectories of landscape and ecosystem change, 2) identify and understand critical ecological processes, and 3) develop approaches for evaluating outcomes of alternative future land and water use, management, and policy.

The Willamette River Basin Planning Atlas supports this endeavor by compiling, analyzing, and representing the best available information about critical natural and cultural factors influencing land and water use decisions. Once compiled, this information was used in a collaborative, citizen-led process to create a set of mapped depictions of plausible future configurations of land and water use for the basin in the year 2050. These alternative futures were then scientifically evaluated for their effects on important environmental and ecological processes. The primary purpose of this book is to convey this work and the resulting information. The content and scope of the Atlas are focused on these regional planning efforts and the data they require. It is intended to complement existing information by filling critical gaps. It was completed using both English and metric units of measure; for the benefit of readers, it is reported primarily in English units with metric conversion tables provided. All maps are presented in the Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 10 map projection and coordinate system using the 1927 North American Datum. Digital versions of information presented in this Atlas and metadata concerning each map's lineage are available on-line via the Internet at <http://oregonstate.edu/dept/pnw-erc/> under the header Datasets and Data Access Page. Every effort has been made to achieve high quality in this atlas. However, various types and amounts of error are inherent in all maps. Map error and uncertainty are addressed in the Appendices.

This document is the product of many people's effort. More than 30 researchers conducted the work over a five-year period. They are listed alphabetically below.

PNW-ERC Researchers: Chip Andrus, Dynamac Corporation (DC); Mieko Aoki, Institute For A Sustainable Environment, University of Oregon (ISE/UO); Linda Ashkenas, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University (DFW/OSU); Joan Baker, Project Officer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); Peter Bayley (DFW/OSU); Patricia Berger Department of Bioresource Engineering, Oregon State University (DBE/OSU); John Bolte (DBE/OSU); Allan Branscomb (ISE/UO); Steve Cline (EPA); Warren Cohen, U.S.D.A. Forest Service (USFS); Hilary Dearborn (ISE/UO); David Diethelm (ISE/UO); David Dole, National Center For Environmental Economics, (EPA); Chris Enright (ISE/UO); Ted Ernst (DC); Sam Fernald, National Research Council; Michelle Gall, EcoNorthwest; Jorge Goicochea Duclos (ISE/UO); Stan Gregory, Principal Investigator OSU Component (DFW/OSU); Patti Haggerty, Indus Corporation (IC); Alan Herlihy (DFW/OSU); Andrew Holder (ISE/UO); David Hulse, Principal Investigator, UO Component, Department of Landscape Architecture (ISE/UO); Steven Jett (IC); Phil Kaufmann (EPA); Dixon Landers (EPA); Judith Li (DFW/OSU); Kit Larsen (ISE/UO); Paula Minear (DFW/OSU); Tom Moser (DC); Ernie Niemi, EcoNorthwest; Doug Oetter (USFS); Susan Payne (ISE/UO); Sue Pierson (IC); Maureen Raad (ISE/UO); David Richey (ISE/UO); Melissa Richmond (ISE/UO); Nathan Schumaker (EPA); Rich Sumner (EPA); John Van Sickle (EPA); Denis White (EPA); Ed Whitelaw, Department of Economics, UO; Jim Wigington (EPA); Kelly Wildman (DFW/OSU); Randy Wildman (DFW/OSU).

In addition to these researchers, a variety of people gave tirelessly of their time and expertise in crafting and refining the alternative future assumptions. We list them alphabetically, and offer our sincere thanks for their assistance. Without them, this work would not have been possible. Oregon is indeed fortunate to have such citizens.

Possible Futures Working Group: Rick Bastasch, Willamette Restoration Initiative; Susan Brody, Willamette Valley Livability Forum; Steve Bryant, City of Albany; Paul Burnet, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality; Rick Fletcher, OSU Extension Service; Steve Gordon, Lane Council of Governments; Gordon Grant, USFS PNW Research Station; Brian Gregor, Oregon Department of Transportation; Rob Hallyburton, Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development; Mike Houck, Portland Audubon Society; Tom Kline and Bill Fuji, Oregon Water Resources Department; Karen Lewotsky, Oregon Environmental Council; John Miller, Wildwood, Inc.; Ernie Platt, West Hills Development, Inc.; Matt Rea, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; Steve Smith, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife; Fred Swanson, U.S. Forest Service PNW Research Station; Sara Vickerman, Defenders of Wildlife; Peter Watt, Willamette Valley Livability Forum. We offer a special note of thanks to John Miller for the generous use of his facilities during group meetings.

Technical Support Groups to the Possible Futures Working Group: Forestry - Scott Ferguson, Consulting Foresters; Norm Johnson, OSU; Gary Lettman, Oregon Department of Forestry; John Sessions, OSU: Agriculture - Dave Nelson, Oregon Seed Council; Jim Johnson, Oregon Department of Agriculture; Steve Griffith, U.S. Department of Agriculture: Transportation and Land Use - Terry Moore, EcoNorthwest; Mitch Rohse, Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development.

Two other groups deserve note: the wildlife experts who helped define habitat suitability ratings and non-PNW-ERC scientists who contributed data, analyses, and expertise that assisted the preparation of this document. We also list them alphabetically.

Wildlife Habitat Suitability Rating Technical Group: Ed Arnett; Ray Bentley, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Bruce Bury, U.S. Geological Survey; Stephen Dowlan, U.S. Bureau of Land Management; Aaron Drew, Findley Wildlife Refuge; W. Daniel Edge (DFW/OSU); Jeff Harding; Matt Hunter; S. Mark Meyers, Department of Geosciences, OSU; Richard Naumann, USFS; Alan St. John; Dave Vesely, Pacific Wildlife Research, Inc.; David Waldien, OSU.

Other Technical Analysts: Paul Adamus, Adamus Resource Assessment; Ed Alverson, Oregon Natural Heritage Program (ONHP); Dave Bigger, Sustainable Ecosystem Institute; John Christy (ONHP); Bill Gerth (DFW/OSU); David Jensen, Department of Statistics, OSU; Pete Lattin (DC); Dale Lindeman (DC); Mary Santelmann, Department of Geosciences, OSU; Mike Schuft (DC); Jerry Wolff, University of Memphis.

Some of the information presented in this book has been developed or refined, prior to our involvement with it, by individuals from a range of private and public organizations. Of particular note are many years of publications, reports, and data by the U.S. Geological Survey, the Oregon Natural Heritage Program, The Nature Conservancy, the Western Ecology Division of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's National Health and Environmental Effects Laboratory, the H.J. Andrews Long-Term Ecological Research program, the Oregon State Service Center for Geographic Information Systems, the University of Oregon Department of Geography, Oregon State University's Department of Geosciences, the U.S. Forest Service, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, and the Oregon Department of Transportation. The Atlas also benefited from the thoughtful critique of four peer reviewers chosen by the EPA and OSU Press, as well as comments from OSU Press Board members. The authors gratefully acknowledge these contributions.

Some thoughts on using this book: While we have endeavored to write this book in clear and accessible language, much of the content is technical and quantitative in nature. We use the chapter introductions as a summary overview of the contents of each chapter and of the role this content plays in connecting with earlier and later chapters. Readers may find it helpful to review the complete set of chapter introductions prior to delving more deeply into individual chapters. Beyond this, we have also made liberal use of cross-referencing among chapters where we thought it helpful. Our aim is clarity. We ask your understanding when we miss the mark. Additional information can be found on the PNW-ERC web site at <http://oregonstate.edu/dept/pnw-erc/>.

The paper in this book meets the guidelines for permanence and durability of the Committee on Production Guidelines for Book Longevity of the Council on Library Resources and the minimum requirements of the American National Standard for Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials Z39.48-1984

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Pacific Northwest Ecosystem Research Consortium.
Willamette River Basin: trajectories of environmental and ecological change
by The Pacific Northwest Ecosystem Research Consortium.--
1st OSU Press ed. p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-87071-542-9

1. Willamette River Watershed (Or.)--Environmental conditions. 2. Natural resources--Oregon--Willamette River Watershed. 3. Human ecology--Oregon--Willamette River Watershed. I. Title. GE155.O7 P33 2002
333.73'09795'3--dc21
2001008536 Rev.

© 2002 Oregon State University Press
All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America



Oregon State University Press
101 Waldo Hall
Corvallis OR 97331-6407
(541) 737-3166 - fax 541-737-3170
<http://oregonstate.edu/dept/press>

Table of Contents

Base Data

Planning Implications

River Corridor

Preface	ii
List of Maps	iv
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	vii
List of Acronyms	ix
1. Introduction	1
Study Area	2
Research Program and Context	4
2. Landforms	6
Geology	8
Soils	10
Elevation and Elevation Profiles	12
3. Water Resources	14
Stream Network	16
Historical Willamette River Channel Change	18
Off-channel Habitats	26
Flood Inundation / FEMA Floodplains	28
Dams	30
Revetments	32
Water Sources and Allocation	34
4. Biotic Systems	36
Presettlement Vegetation ca. 1851	38
Riparian Vegetation	40
Fish Assemblages	44
Terrestrial Wildlife	46
Environmental Frameworks	48
5. Human Population	50
1850 Population	52
1930 and 1970 Population	54
1990 Population Density	56
1990 Northern Valley Density	58
1990 Central Valley Density	60
1990 Southern Valley Density	62
Population Pyramids	64
6. Land Use and Land Cover	66
Transportation	68
General Land Use Zoning	72
Land Ownership	76
Land Use / Land Cover ca. 1990	78
7. Trajectories of Change	82
Scenario Development	84
Plan Trend 2050	86
Development 2050	88
Conservation 2050	90
Pre-EuroAmerican Scenario	92
Scenario Comparisons	94
Natural Vegetation	96
Riparian Areas	98
Agricultural Land Use	102
Forestry Land Use	104
Urban Land Use	106
Rural Residential Land Use	108
Projected Ecosystem Change	110
Mainstem River	112
Water Availability	114
Aquatic Life	118
Terrestrial Wildlife - Habitat and Biodiversity	124
Terrestrial Wildlife - Populations	126
Conclusions and Recommendations	128
8. River Restoration	131
Conceptual and Spatial Framework	132
Longitudinal Patterns - Channel	134
Longitudinal Patterns - Floodplain Vegetation	136
Longitudinal Patterns - Revetments	138
Longitudinal Patterns - Human Systems	140
Longitudinal Patterns - Economic Considerations	142
Priorities for Restoration	144
Reach and Focal Area Example	146
9. Bibliography	148
10. Appendices	153
USGS Topographic Maps	154
Uncertainty and Error	156
Geology	158
List of Terrestrial Vertebrate Species	160
Presettlement Vegetation ca. 1851	162
Cross-referencing Land Cover Classes between Scenarios	164
River Channels 1850 - 1995	166
Index	174

List of Maps and Figures

List of Maps

Map 1.	Geological Formations	9
Map 2.	Soils	11
Map 3.	Hypsometry	13
Map 4.	Stream Order	17
Map 5.	Dams	31
Map 6.	Presettlement Vegetation ca. 1851	39
Map 7.	Level IV Ecoregions	49
Map 8.	1850 Census of Dwellings	53
Map 9.	1930 Population Density	55
Map 10.	1970 Population Density	55
Map 11.	1990 Population Density	57
Map 12.	1990 Population Density in the Northern Willamette Valley	59
Map 13.	1990 Population Density in the Central Willamette Valley	61
Map 14.	1990 Population Density in the Southern Willamette Valley	63
Map 15.	Population Pyramids	65
Map 16.	Transportation Networks	69
Map 17.	Road Network	71
Map 18.	1990 Land Use Zoning	73
Map 19.	Forestry Zoning	74
Map 20.	Agricultural Zoning	74
Map 21.	Miscellaneous Zoning	74
Map 22.	Urban & Rural Zoning	74
Map 23.	1990 Land Ownership	77
Map 24.	LULC ca. 1990	79
Map 25.	Plan Trend 2050	87
Map 26.	Development 2050	89
Map 27.	Conservation 2050	91
Map 28.	Pre-EuroAmerican Scenario	93
Map 29.	Scenario Comparisons: Pre-EuroAmerican Scenario	94
Map 30.	Scenario Comparisons: Land Use / Land Cover ca. 1990	94
Map 31.	Scenario Comparisons: Conservation 2050	95
Map 32.	Scenario Comparisons: Plan Trend 2050	95
Map 33.	Scenario Comparisons: Development 2050	95
Map 34.	USGS 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle Maps	157

List of Figures

Study Area

Figure 1	Willamette River Basin and Oregon counties	2
Figure 2	Location of the Willamette River Basin within the continental United States	2
Figure 3	View of Willamette River Basin within the Cascade and Coast Ranges of the Pacific Northwest	3

Research Program and Context

Figure 4	Willamette Basin Population	4
Figure 5	Trajectories of landscape change in the Willamette Basin	5
Figure 6	Process for developing and evaluating the Alternative Futures	5

Geology

Figure 7	Physiographic provinces and major lithological types of the WRB	8
Figure 8	Geologic formations contained in each lithological group	8

Soils

Figure 9	Areas of WRB described by SSURGO and STATSGO data	10
Figure 10	Percentage of soil capability classes within WRB	10
Figure 11	Percentage of soil capability classes inside 1995 urban growth boundaries within WRB	10
Figure 12	Percentage of soil capability classes within and outside 1995 urban growth boundaries in WRB	10

Elevation and Elevation Profiles

Figure 13	Willamette River Basin elevation profiles	12
Figure 14	Slope calculation and representation	12

Stream Network

Figure 15	WRB fourth field (subbasin) hydrologic unit areas	16
Figure 16	WRB fifth field hydrologic unit areas	16
Figure 17	WRB fifth field hydrologic units	16

Historical Willamette River Channel

Figure 18	Proportion of the channel composed of main (or primary) river, side and secondary channels, sloughs and alcoves, and islands	18
Figure 19	Willamette River historical channels	19
Figure 20	The Willamette River at Fish Eddy, upstream of Willamette Falls	21
Figure 21	The Willamette River at the confluence of the Santiam and Luckiamute Rivers	22
Figure 22	The Willamette River from Corvallis to Peoria showing channel and streamside vegetation for 1850, 1895, 1932, and 1995	23
Figure 23	Changes in the Willamette River in the Harrisburg area	24
Figure 24	Longitudinal patterns of length of all channel types per 1 km slice of floodplain for the mainstem Willamette River: length of river channel ca. 1850	25
Figure 25	Longitudinal patterns of length of all channel types per 1 km slice of floodplain for the mainstem Willamette River: length of river channel ca. 1895	25
Figure 26	Longitudinal patterns of length of all channel types per 1 km slice of floodplain for the mainstem Willamette River: length of river channel ca. 1932	25
Figure 27	Longitudinal patterns of length of all channel types per 1 km slice of floodplain for the mainstem Willamette River: length of river channel ca. 1995	25

Off-channel Habitats

Figure 28	Riverine features at river mile 171	26
Figure 29	A map of the southern portion of the Willamette River historical floodplain between Corvallis and Eugene	26
Figure 30	The number and magnitude of flows greater than bank full	27
Figure 31	Hyporheic flow	27
Figure 32	Fish catches during summer 1998	27

Flood Inundation / FEMA Floodplains

Figure 33	Examples of historical floods experienced by communities along the Willamette River since the 1860s	28
Figure 34	Maximum flood discharges recorded at the Albany gauge from 1861 to 1996	28
Figure 35	Extent of historical floods relative to FEMA 100-year floodplains and current active channel	29

Dams

Figure 36	Number of dams within the WRB, by responsible agency	30
Figure 37	Use of dams within the WRB	30
Figure 38	Number of dams within each watershed of the WRB	30
Figure 39	Number of dams in each county of the WRB	30
Figure 40	Selected summary statistics of dams by agency	30
Figure 41	Frequency distribution of maximum storage capacity of dams in WRB by agency	30
Figure 42	History of dam construction within the WRB, showing the number of dams constructed by different agencies within each five-year interval	30

Revetments		
Figure 43	Revetment ownership along the mainstem Willamette River in the different river reaches	32
Figure 44	Land use adjacent to revetments along the mainstem Willamette River	32
Figure 45	Cumulative dollars spent by USACE on modifications to the Willamette River and its tributaries	32
Figure 46	Location of built structures in the mainstem Willamette River	33
Water Sources and Allocation		
Figure 47	Seasonal variations in precipitation	34
Figure 48	Spatial precipitation patterns in the Willamette Basin	34
Figure 49	Long-term patterns in annual precipitation	34
Figure 50	Availability of surface water during August	35
Presettlement Vegetation ca. 1851		
Figure 51	Examples of the survey maps and surveyor's notes for the Willamette Basin in the General Land Office Survey	38
Riparian Vegetation		
Figure 52	Percent composition of streamside vegetation on the mainstem Willamette River	40
Figure 53	Comparison of percent composition of land use / land cover in the riparian zone of the mainstem Willamette River (Portland to Eugene) between 1850 and 1990	41
Figure 54	Percent composition of 120 m riparian zones along major tributaries of the Willamette River in 1850 and 1990	42
Figure 55	Percent composition of 120 m riparian zones along small streams of the Willamette Basin in 1850 and 1990	42
Figure 56	Percent composition of 120 m riparian zones for all streams and rivers in the Willamette Basin	43
Fish Assemblages		
Figure 57	Numbers of fish species known to occur within subbasins of the Willamette River Basin	44
Figure 58	Numbers of fish species within major stream reaches in the Willamette River Basin	45
Figure 59	Longitudinal pattern of cumulative number of fish species	45
Terrestrial Wildlife		
Figure 60	Two species exemplifying the great diversity of wildlife in the Willamette Basin	46
Figure 61	Two species that are endemic to (only found in) the Willamette Basin	46
Figure 62	The number of native amphibian, bird, mammal, and reptile species estimated to have breeding habitat in Oregon and Washington	46
Figure 63	Two species that have been extirpated from the Willamette Basin	46
Figure 64	Two species of conservation concern in the Willamette Basin	47
Figure 65	The number of terrestrial vertebrate species that are of conservation concern, and introduced to the Basin	47
Figure 66	Two species that have been introduced to the Willamette Basin	47
Figure 67	Two species with high levels of public identification in the Willamette Basin	47
Environmental Frameworks		
Figure 68	Level III Ecoregions of Oregon and Washington	48
Figure 69	Level IV Ecoregions of the WRB	48
1850 Population		
Figure 70	1850 Census Marshal's route, Linn County, Oregon	52
Figure 71	1850 dwellings, French Prairie-Champoeg	52
1930 and 1970 Human Population		
Figure 72	Population of Oregon 1850-1990 by decade	54
Figure 73	Boundaries for census in Benton County, 1930, 1970, 1990	54
Figure 74	1930 Minor Civil Divisions and 1970 Census County Divisions	55
Figure 75	Change in population density 1930-1970	55
1990 Population Density		
Figure 76	1990 population density for selected sites in the WRB	56
Figure 77	Percentage of WRB land area in each of six population density ranges	56
1990 Northern Valley Density		
Figure 78	Detail of 1990 population density in the Portland metropolitan area	58
1990 Central Valley Density		
Figure 79	Population density in Salem	60
Figure 80	Population density in Corvallis and Albany	60
1990 Southern Valley Density		
Figure 81	Population density in Eugene and Springfield	62
Population Pyramids		
Figure 82	Anatomy of a population pyramid	64
Figure 83	Population pyramid types	64
Figure 84	1990 county population by gender and total	64
Figure 85	Lane County population pyramids for 1930, 1970, and 1990, and gender ratios for the trajectories	64
Transportation		
Figure 86	Major workday commuting routes within WRB	68
Figure 87	Daily vehicle miles traveled in Oregon	68
Figure 88	Trends in public road miles in Oregon	68
Figure 89	Road density on a one mile square grid	70
Figure 90	Road density in fifth field HUCs	70
Zoning		
Figure 91	The exclusive farm use zone within the urban growth boundary of the city of Tangent	72
Land Ownership		
Figure 92	The identification method used in the US Public Land Survey System	76
Figure 93	Basinwide percentage of land area by ownership type	76
Land Use / Land Cover ca. 1990		
Figure 94	Conversion of land cover from ca. 1850 to ca. 1990 within the Willamette Valley Ecoregion	78
Figure 95	Land cover distribution within the Willamette River Basin and the Willamette Valley Ecoregion	80
Figure 96	Comparison of satellite image and air photo from Linn/Benton Counties	80
Figure 97	Percentage of WRB agricultural acres in various categories of production	81
Figure 98	Acres of forest type as a proportion of total forest acres in the Willamette Basin ca. 1990	81
Scenario Development		
Figure 99	Scenario development cycle	84
Figure 100	Interactions among land allocation models in Conservation 2050 Future	85
Plan Trend 2050		
Figure 101	A diagram of the Plan Trend 2050 alternative, highlighting some key features	86
Development 2050		
Figure 102	A diagram of the Development 2050 alternative, highlighting some key features	88

List of Figures and Tables

Conservation 2050		
Figure 103	The Conservation and Restoration Opportunities Map depicts the Tier 1 and 2 priority areas	90
Natural Vegetation		
Figure 104	Percent of land area in natural vegetation categories in the Willamette Basin	96
Figure 105	Change in forest types under different scenarios in the Willamette Basin	97
Riparian Areas		
Figure 106	Percent composition of 120 m riparian zones for all streams under the 5 different scenarios	99
Figure 107	Percent of riparian area in major forest components by scenario in Willamette Basin lowland and upland ecoregions	100
Figure 108	Riparian vegetation along small streams in agricultural land in the Willamette Valley	100
Figure 109	Willamette River riparian vegetation	100
Agricultural Land Use		
Figure 110	Distribution of prime and other farmland for each of the alternative scenarios	102
Figure 111	Distribution of farmland over the three regions of the Willamette River Basin	103
Figure 112	Crop distribution for each region and the entire basin	103
Figure 113	Percentage change in the number of wildlife species for which farmland represents suitable habitat for each future scenario, relative to 1990 values	103
Forestry Land Use		
Figure 114	Circa 1990 area of closed canopy coniferous forest by ownership class	104
Figure 115	Willamette Valley and upland ecoregions	104
Figure 116	Cumulative change in ownership from 1990 to 2050	105
Figure 117	Young conifer ownership	105
Figure 118	Old growth ownership percentages	105
Figure 119	Change in old growth conifer area since ca. 1850	105
Figure 120	Comparing the Conservation 2050 and Development 2050 alternatives around the Clackamas River scenic corridor	105
Urban Land Use		
Figure 121	Outline of process used to allocate urban land use	106
Figure 122	Illustrations of residences within residential density categories used in urban modeling	106
Figure 123	1990 LULC within 2050 UGB expansion areas and potentially buildable rural areas, within 1 mile of 1990 UGBs	107
Figure 124	Comparison of soil capability classes in 1990 UGBs, 2050 UGB expansion areas, and potentially buildable rural areas	107
Figure 125	LULC along the edges of water bodies within 1990 UGBs, and 2050 UGBs	107
Figure 126	Comparison of the area of new residential land use density categories between the 2050 alternative futures	107
Rural Residential Land Use		
Figure 127	Percentage of built rural parcels in 1990 in different acreage groups within the WRB	108
Figure 128	Travel time to nearest major urban employment center	108
Figure 129	Comparison of rural structure density and urban growth expansion between 1990 conditions and 2050 alternative futures	108
Figure 130	Land cover in 1990 affected by 2050 rural development in each alternative future	109
Figure 131	Simulations of rural landscapes in the foothills of the Willamette Valley	109
Projected Ecosystem Change		
Figure 132	Process for developing and evaluating the alternative futures	110
Figure 133	Illustration of the use of 1990 conditions as reference in comparison of scenarios	110
Mainstem River		
Figure 134	Differences in numbers of fish species in 1-km slices of floodplain between LULC ca. 1990 and past and future scenarios	112
Figure 135	Pre-EuroAmerican predicted fish assemblage	113
Figure 136	Circa 1990 predicted fish assemblage	113
Figure 137	Plan Trend 2050 predicted fish assemblage	113
Figure 138	Conservation 2050 predicted fish assemblage	113
Figure 139	Development 2050 predicted fish assemblage	113
Water Availability		
Figure 140	Comparison of simulated flows under dry-year conditions in August, ca. 1990 to natural streamflow	115
Figure 141	Estimated scarcity of water for out-of-stream uses during dry year conditions in August, ca. 1990	115
Figure 142	Types of out-of-stream use that would have experienced the greatest estimated scarcity under dry year conditions in August, ca. 1990	115
Figure 143	Estimated streamflow under dry year conditions in August: Plan Trend 2050, relative to circa 1990	115
Figure 144	Estimated streamflow under dry year conditions in August: Development 2050, relative to circa 1990	116
Figure 145	Estimated streamflow under dry year conditions in August: Conservation 2050, relative to circa 1990	116
Aquatic Life		
Figure 146	Second to 4th order streams in the Willamette Basin	118
Figure 147	Lowland stream in the Willamette Valley	118
Figure 148	Upland stream	118
Figure 149	Common stream invertebrates in the Willamette Basin	119
Figure 150	Areas of influence considered in evaluating the effects of land use/land cover on stream condition	119
Figure 151	Relationship between the fish Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) and percent of 390-ft riparian network used for agriculture	119
Figure 152	Model predictions of the fish Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) for each stream reach LULC ca. 1990, in an example stream network	120
Figure 153	Percent change in total stream habitat area and volume during August and September of a dry year relative to conditions LULC ca. 1990	121
Figure 154	Miles of stream expected to go completely dry in August and September of a moderately dry year	121
Figure 155	Percent change in median stream condition, comparing historical and future scenarios to LULC ca. 1990	122
Figure 156	Change in median Lowland stream condition comparing Pre-EuroAmerican and future scenarios to LULC ca. 1990	122
Figure 157	Percent of Willamette Basin streams in relatively poor, fair, moderate, or high-quality condition, under each scenario	122
Figure 158	Change in median HSI for Upland streams draining federally owned forest lands and draining privately and state-managed forest lands	123
Terrestrial Wildlife-Habitat and Biodiversity		
Figure 159	The application of two adjacency rules to the habitat scores for the Great Blue Heron in a small section of the basin	124
Figure 160	Percent change in habitat for four taxonomic groups in each scenario compared to LULC ca. 1990	124
Figure 161	Percent change in habitat for four groups of species in each scenario compared to LULC ca. 1990	124
Figure 162	Net change in species with increased or decreased habitat for each scenario	125
Figure 163	The distribution of area by numbers of species gained or lost for each scenario compared with LULC ca. 1990	125
Figure 164	Spatial patterns of the numbers of species gained or lost for each scenario compared with LULC ca. 1990	125
Terrestrial Wildlife-Populations		
Figure 165	Example application of PATCH	126
Figure 166	Numerical results showing changes in habitat quality and population size	126
Figure 167	Changes in population density projected to occur	127
Conclusions and Recommendations		
Figure 168	Percent change in indicators of human use of the Willamette River Basin in the three future scenarios, relative to LULC ca. 1990	128
Figure 169	Percent change in indicators of natural resource condition in the three future and Pre-EuroAmerican scenarios, relative to LULC ca. 1990	128
Conceptual and Spatial Framework		
Figure 170	Conceptual framework for prioritizing locations where potential for ecological restoration will be large and will endure	132
Figure 171	Three spatial extents at which the conceptual framework for prioritizing restoration locations is applied	132
Figure 172	Spatial framework for prioritizing locations for river restoration	133
Figure 173	Past, present, and alternative futures of a portion of the upper Willamette River between Harrisburg and Eugene	133

Longitudinal Patterns - Channel		
Figure 174	Net increase or decrease in channel length per 1 km of floodplain distance between 1850 and 1995	134
Figure 175	Longitudinal patterns of channel complexity along the mainstem of the Willamette River: area of river channel ca. 1850	135
Figure 176	Longitudinal patterns of channel complexity along the mainstem of the Willamette River: area of river channel 1995	135
Figure 177	Longitudinal patterns of channel complexity along the mainstem of the Willamette River: length of river channel ca. 1850	135
Figure 178	Longitudinal patterns of channel complexity along the mainstem of the Willamette River: length of river channel 1995	135
Longitudinal Patterns - Floodplain Vegetation		
Figure 179	Floodplain forest along the mainstem Willamette River	136
Figure 180	Net increase or decrease in area of floodplain forest per 1 km of floodplain distance between 1850 and 1990	136
Figure 181	Longitudinal patterns of floodplain forests along the mainstem of the Willamette River: area of floodplain woody vegetation ca. 1850	137
Figure 182	Longitudinal patterns of floodplain forests along the mainstem of the Willamette River: area of floodplain woody vegetation 1990	137
Figure 183	Longitudinal patterns of floodplain forests along the mainstem of the Willamette River: length of floodplain woody vegetation ca. 1850	137
Figure 184	Longitudinal patterns of floodplain forests along the mainstem of the Willamette River: length of floodplain woody vegetation 1990	137
Figure 185	Longitudinal patterns of floodplain forests along the mainstem of the Willamette River: percentage of floodplain woody vegetation ca. 1850	137
Figure 186	Longitudinal patterns of floodplain forests along the mainstem of the Willamette River: percentage of floodplain woody vegetation 1990	137
Longitudinal Patterns - Revetments		
Figure 187	Bank revetment used to repair a slump that occurred on the river bank of the Willamette River in Corvallis during the flood of February 1996	138
Figure 188	Blockage of historical side channel and armoring with riprap in the upper Willamette River	138
Figure 189	Bank protection and drainage for new apartment buildings in the riparian area of the Willamette River in Salem	138
Figure 190	Development of docks and bank armoring with riprap on a steep bank near the Portland metropolitan area	138
Figure 191	Fringe of native riparian vegetation with riprap to stabilize the lower bank in Corvallis	138
Figure 192	1995 Revetments: length of revetments	139
Figure 193	1995 Revetments: percentage of river bank with revetments	139
Longitudinal Patterns - Human Systems		
Figure 194	High human presence: Industrial district near Fremont Bridge, Portland	140
Figure 195	A gradient of human presence, at the northern edge of Eugene	140
Figure 196	Measures of human presence along Willamette River: population density per 1 km slice, ca. 1990	141
Figure 197	Measures of human presence along Willamette River: rural building density per 1 km slice, ca. 1990	141
Figure 198	Measures of human presence along Willamette River: road density per 1 km slice, ca. 1990	141
Figure 199	Measures of human presence along Willamette River: public land percentage per 1 km slice, ca. 1990	141
Figure 200	Measures of human presence along Willamette River: public land total area per 1 km slice, ca. 1990	141
Longitudinal Patterns - Economic Considerations		
Figure 201	Percent of taxlots with land value <\$2,500 per acre by slice, ca. 1990	143
Figure 202	Percent of taxlots with improvement value >\$0 by slice, ca. 1990	143
Figure 203	Observations of flood-resistant crops by slice, ca. 1990	143
Priorities for Restoration		
Figure 204	Reaches with coincident low constraint and high opportunity to restore channel complexity and native floodplain forest	144
Figure 205	Illustration of restoration priorities	145
Reach and Focal Area Example		
Figure 206	Reach and focal area selection criteria	146
Figure 207	Candidate focal areas in the reach downstream of Eugene	146
Figure 208	Designing cost-effective actions for increasing flood storage and floodplain forests at Harkens Lake	147
Figure 209	Simulated flood event before and after proposed restoration	147
USGS Topographical Maps		
Figure 210	A comparison of the coverage of quadrangle scales produced by the USGS	154
Figure 211	The nested scales of quadrangle maps produced by the USGS	154
Figure 212	Features represented on a USGS 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle map	154
Uncertainty and Error		
Figure 213	GPS points	156
Figure 214	Illustration of uncertainty caused by combination of data from different sources	156
Figure 215	Feature alignment	157
Figure 216	Illustration of loss of detail through generalization of spatial data	157
Figure 217	Loss of line detail	157
Figure 218	Reporting units	157
Figure 219	Census units	157
River Channels 1850 - 1995		
Figure 220	The Willamette River in 1850 and surrounding landscape	166
Figure 221	The Willamette River in 1850	167
Figure 222	The Willamette River in 1895 and surrounding landscape	168
Figure 223	The Willamette River in 1895.	169
Figure 224	The Willamette River in 1932 and surrounding landscape	170
Figure 225	The Willamette River in 1932.	171
Figure 226	The Willamette River in 1995 and surrounding landscape	172
Figure 227	The Willamette River in 1995	173
List of Tables		
Study Area		
Table 1	Area and percentage of Oregon counties in WRB	2
Soils		
Table 2	Acres of Soil Capability Classes inside 1995 UGBs within WRB	10
Stream Network		
Table 3	WRB fourth field (subbasin) statistics	16
Historical Willamette River Channel		
Table 4	Changes in area of channel features from 1850 to 1995 for the Willamette River from Portland to Eugene	18
Table 5	Changes in the length of the mainstem Willamette River, from Portland to Eugene, between 1850 and 1995	18
Tabel 6	Percent composition of channel types in the lower river from Portland to Newberg	20
Table 7	Percent channel composition for the reach of the Willamette River from Newberg to Albany	20
Table 8	Percent channel composition for the reach of the Willamette River from Albany to Eugene	21
Flood Inundation / FEMA Floodplains		
Table 9	Maximum discharges recorded at the Albany gauge for the four major floods for which the Army Corps of Engineers has mapped flood boundaries.	28
Table 10	Area of Willamette Valley inundated by major floods since 1860.	28
Revetments		
Table 11	Length of revetments in the Willamette River	32
Table 12	Percent of bank length revetted in the Willamette River	32
Table 13	Number of revetments in three main reaches of the Willamette River, and the features they are designed to protect.	32

List of Tables and Acronyms

Water Sources and Allocation		
Table 14	Flow regimes for major tributaries in the Willamette Basin	34
Table 15	Water withdrawals and consumption in 1995 in the Willamette Basin	35
Presettlement Vegetation ca. 1851		
Table 16	Comparison of areas of presettlement and contemporary vegetation classes from land cover classification of satellite spectral data in the Willamette Valley areas mapped by GLO surveys	38
Riparian Vegetation		
Table 17	Percent composition of streamside vegetation along the mainstem Willamette River for 1850, 1895, 1932, 1990	40
Table 18	Streamside land use and vegetation along the lower Willamette River, from Portland to Newberg	41
Table 19	Changes in streamside vegetation and land use patterns in the middle Willamette River, from Newberg to Albany	41
Table 20	Streamside land use and plant community type along the upper mainstem Willamette River, from Albany to Eugene	41
Table 21	Summary of riparian land use and land cover within a 120 m distance along streams and rivers of the Willamette Basin	43
Fish Assemblages		
Table 22	Contemporary fish fauna of the Willamette River Basin	45
1850 Population		
Table 23	1850 population census schedule	52
Transportation		
Table 24	Average road densities in specified areas of WRB	70
Land Use / Land Cover ca. 1990		
Table 25	Accuracy of land use / land cover legend categories derived from Landsat Thematic Mapper satellite images	80
Scenario Development		
Table 26	Summary of principal assumptions and features of alternative futures	85
Natural Vegetation		
Table 27	Percent composition of vegetation types in Willamette Basin Lowlands under different scenarios	96
Table 28	Percent composition of natural vegetation in Willamette Basin Uplands (Cascade and Coast Ranges) under 5 different scenarios	97
Table 29	Natural vegetation percentages for the entire Willamette Basin (Uplands and Lowlands combined)	97
Riparian Areas		
Table 30	Percent composition of riparian vegetation and land use within a 120 m (393 ft) zone in lowland streams of the Willamette Basin	98
Table 31	Percent composition of riparian vegetation and land use within a 120 m (393 ft) zone in upland streams of the Willamette Basin	99
Table 32	Impacts of different scenarios on riparian land use and land cover in the Willamette Basin for both ecoregions by stream type	99
Agricultural Land Use		
Table 33	Distribution of 1990 agricultural land use for each scenario	102
Table 34	Percentage change in crop acreage relative to available farmland for each future scenario, with respect to 1990 farmland	103
Urban Land Use		
Table 35	Parameters defining urban land use requirements for each future	106
Table 36	Proportion of new urban dwellings built in each residential density category over the period 1990-2050	106
Table 37	Some urban population and land use modeling results comparing circa 1990 and 2050 alternative futures	107
Rural Residential Land Use		
Table 38	Comparison of rural structure statistics for each alternative future	109
Table 39	Statistics on location of rural structures with respect to selected environmental and built features	109
Water Availability		
Table 40	Percent of total volume of water allocated to water rights in the WRB prior to 1998, by decade of priority date and type of use	114
Table 41	Projected changes in major diversions of surface water under the alternative futures in the season of highest scarcity using 1990 as a baseline	116
Aquatic Life		
Table 42	Statistical models of stream condition	120
Table 43	Cutthroat trout habitat suitability index: metrics and metric weights	120
Table 44	Percent change in the total volume of small stream habitat, relative to LULC ca. 1990	121
Table 45	Change in cutthroat habitat suitability index (HSI), relative to LULC ca. 1990	123
Terrestrial Wildlife - Habitat and Biodiversity		
Table 46	The 34 wildlife habitats with the number of viable species assigned to them, and suitability scores for breeding in the habitat by example species	124
Terrestrial Wildlife - Populations		
Table 47	A list of the 17 wildlife species used in the analysis	127
Conclusions and Recommendations		
Table 48	Projected changes in indicators of human use and natural resources in the Willamette River Basin, in three future scenarios (year 2050) and Pre-EuroAmerican settlement, relative to LULC ca. 1990	128
Table 49	Summary of results by future scenario	129
Longitudinal Patterns - Economic Considerations		
Table 50	The primary potential costs and benefits of restoration of biological and physical functions in the historical floodplain of the Willamette River	142
Geology		
Table 51	Formations and major lithology group	158
Table 52	Geological time symbols	158
Table 53	Geological time scale	159
Cross-referencing Land Cover Classes between Scenarios		
Table 54	Classification cross-reference table	165

List of Acronyms

ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
BLM	USDI Bureau of Land Management
C&I	Commercial and Industrial Land
CCD	Census County Division
CCI	Committee for Citizen Involvement
CFS	cubic feet per second
CON2050	Conservation 2050 scenario
CORS	Constantly Observed Reference System
DAS	Oregon Department of Administrative Services
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DEV2050	Development 2050 scenario
DLCD	Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development
DLG	Digital Line Graphs
DU	Dwelling Units
DVMT	Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled
EFU	Exclusive Farm Use
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
EPT	Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Plecoptera (stoneflies), Trichoptera (caddisflies)
ERTS-1	Earth Research Technology Satellite
FS	USDA Forest Service
GIS	Geographic Information System
GLO	General Land Office
GPS	Global Positioning System
HSI	Habitat Suitability Index
HUC	Hydrologic Unit Code
IBI	Index of Biotic Integrity
ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act
LCDC	Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission
LULC	Land Use/Land Cover
MGD	million gallons per day
mya	million years ago
MCD	Minor Civil Division
NGS	National Geodetic Survey
NSRS	National Spatial Reference System
O & C	Oregon and California (Railroad)
OCH	off channel habitats
ODOT	Oregon Department of Transportation
ONHP	Oregon Natural Heritage Program
OSU	Oregon State University
OWRD	Oregon Water Resources Department
PESVEG	Pre-EuroAmerican Scenario Vegetation map
PFWG	Possible Futures Working Group
PLS	Public Land Survey
PNW	Pacific Northwest
PNW-ERC	Pacific Northwest-Ecosystem Research Consortium
PT2050	Plan Trend 2050 scenario
TIGER	Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing system
TM	Thematic Mapper
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
UGB	Urban Growth Boundary
UO	University of Oregon
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USDI	United States Department of Interior
USFS	United States Forest Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
USPLS	United States Public Land Survey
WAB	Water Availability Basin
WINOE	Willamette Invertebrate Observed/Expected index
WRB	Willamette River Basin
WRI	Willamette Restoration Initiative
WVE	Willamette Valley Ecoregion
WVLF	Willamette Valley Livability Forum