

# Relationships of dead wood patterns with biophysical characteristics and ownership according to scale in Coastal Oregon, USA

Rebecca S. H. Kennedy<sup>✉</sup> · Thomas A. Spies<sup>✉</sup>  
Matthew J. Gregory

Received: 31 January 2007 / Accepted: 24 September 2007 / Published online: 11 October 2007  
Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2007

**Abstract** Dead wood patterns and dynamics vary with biophysical factors, disturbance history, ownership, and management practices; the importance of these factors is poorly understood, especially at landscape to regional scales. This study examined current dead wood amounts in the Coastal Province of Oregon, USA, at multiple spatial scales. Objectives were to: (1) describe current regional and amounts of several characteristics of dead wood; (2) compare dead wood amounts across ownerships; (3) determine the relative importance, according to scale, of biophysical and ownership characteristics, to regional dead wood abundance. Dead wood plot data were evaluated with respect to explanatory variables at four spatial scales of resolution: plots, subwatersheds, watersheds and subbasins. The relationships of dead wood characteristics with biophysical attributes and ownership were diverse and scale-specific. Region-wide dead wood abundance and types varied among ownerships, with public lands typically having higher amounts of dead wood and more large dead wood than private lands. Regression analysis of total dead wood

volume indicated that ownership was important at the subbasin scale. Growing season moisture stress was important at plot, subwatershed, and watershed scales. Topography was important at the two coarser scales. Multivariate analysis of dead wood gradients showed that ownership was important at all scales, topography at the subbasin scale, historical vegetation at watershed scales. Management for dead wood and related biodiversity at watershed to landscape scales should consider the distinct dynamics of snags and logs, the importance of historical effects, and the relevance of ownership patterns.

**Keywords** Coarse woody debris · Snags · Logs · Legacy Forest management · Landscape ecology · Topography · Forest history · Climate · Coast range

R. S. H. Kennedy<sup>✉</sup> · T. A. Spies  
Forestry Sciences Laboratory, U.S.D.A. Forest Service,  
Pacific Northwest Research Station, 3200 SW Jefferson  
Way, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA  
e-mail: rebeccakennedy@fs.fed.us

M. J. Gregory  
Department of Forest Science, Oregon State University,  
321 Richardson Hall, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

Dead wood is an important component of forest ecosystems, through its influences on biodiversity, long-term forest productivity and carbon sequestration, and the structure and dynamics of riparian ecosystems (Harmon et al. 1986; Lindenmayer and Franklin 2002; Maser et al. 1989). However, because dead wood is difficult to quantify over large areas using satellite imagery or other remote sensing technologies such as laser altimetry (Lidar) (Lefsky et al. 2002; Wulder and Franklin 2003), relatively

few studies have addressed dead wood patterns and snags and logs, to support biodiversity goals related processes at landscape to regional scales (U.S.D.A. Forest Service and U.S.D.I. Bureau of (Butler and Schlaepfe 2004; Ohmann et al. 2007; Land Management 1994). Managers need frame- Poulos et al. 2007). Furthermore, whereas the eco- works for developing objectives and expected trends logical importance of speciC dead wood attributes for dead wood across large diverse areas. Second, (e.g., individual large, tall snags, or large logs) has species and ecological processes may cross owner- been widely noted, these dead wood attributes are not ship lines, and elucidating ownership-speciC accounted for by the broader land cover or fuels patterns can assist habitat assessment. Third, pres- classifications typically used in landscape ecology ent-day dead wood patterns may not relect current research, except by inference (Wimberly and Ohmann management because they were generated by past 2004). We took advantage of a large, spatially events and the decomposition time of large dead referenced forest inventory to assess dead woodwood may exceed the duration of management plans patterns and relationships with biophysical variables (Sollins 1982); hence, effects of management on at multiple spatial scales from mid-sized watersheds long-term dead wood abundance may be masked if to a physiographic region. the relative contribution of legacy (from the previous

Understanding patterns and processes related to stand) dead wood is unknown. Fourth, the effCacy of dead wood abundance may be aided by examining forest management to achieve goals related to dead multiple spatial and temporal scales, because factors wood retention or production may be limited without related to vegetation patterns and biodiversity may an understanding of the controls on patterns of dead differ according to scale (Levi 2000; Wu 2004). For wood and how they vary by scale.


This study evaluated current patterns of dead wood may produce high amounts of dead wood, whereas and related patterns and processes in the Coastal frequently recurring, small, low-severity Pres may Province of Oregon, USA, at multiple spatial scales, reduce dead wood amounts at Pner temporal and from plots to subbasins. The study had three objec- spatial scales (Skinner 2002). Post-Pre live vegetation tives: (1) describe current regional amounts of several patterns also influence subsequent dead wood pro- characteristics of dead wood; (2) compare dead wood duction potential. In managed forests, harvest amounts across ownerships; (3) determine the rela- frequency and intensity may be related to dead wood tionship of dead wood abundance to current and past amounts and types; regional vegetation patterns have vegetation conditions, ownership, climate, topography, been found to be associated with ownership-speciC and soils, and characterize how these relationships management (Ohmann et al. 2007). Landslides and vary with spatial scale.

loods contribute to dead wood production, migration, and repositioning, especially near streams or on steep slopes (Maser et al. 1988). Upper slope positions may be source areas and riparian areas and streams sinks for dead wood (Kennedy and Spies 2007), and site productivity and species composition are linked to topography (Pabst and Spies 1999). Soils and

The study area was the Coastal Province of Oregon, a mountainous region of approximately 30,000  $\text{km}^2$  in the Pacific Northwestern USA (Fig. 1). Elevation ranges from sea level to 1,247 m and there is a dense stream network. The maritime climate has warm, dry summers and cool, wet winters; high levels of precipitation (2500–3000  $\text{mm yr}^{-1}$ ) occur primarily as winter rains or snow. Growing-season moisture is unclear, but is relevant for several reasons. First, stress increases with ocean distance as temperature increases and precipitation decreases. Soils are broad spatial scale ecological goals such as older derived mainly from marine sandstones and basaltic forest conditions, and individual structures such as volcanics (Franklin and Dyrness 1988).

The comparative importance of these factors to dead wood abundance at different scales remains unclear, but is relevant for several reasons. First, stress increases with ocean distance as temperature increases and precipitation decreases. Soils are broad spatial scale ecological goals such as older derived mainly from marine sandstones and basaltic forest conditions, and individual structures such as volcanics (Franklin and Dyrness 1988).

Fig. 1 Attributes of coastal Oregon, USA: (a) plot locations, (b) elevation, (c) moisture stress index, and (d) ownership. See text for description of plot types



The Coastal Province is in the western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*) and Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) vegetation zones (Franklin and Dyrness 1988). Dominant tree species include Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), western hemlock, western redcedar (*Thuja plicata*), and Sitka spruce, the latter prevalent near the coast. Hardwood trees, especially red alder (*Alnus rubra*) and bigleaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*), occur in patches in the coniferous matrix, often near streams or on recently disturbed sites (Franklin and Dyrness 1988; Kennedy and Spies 2005).

Land ownership is mainly public (Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, State of Oregon) or forest industry, and is not strongly differentiated by topography; non-industrial private land ownership tends to occur in the valleys. Bureau of Land Management holdings tend to be interspersed with forest industry lands, whereas Forest Service, forest industry, and State lands tend to occur in larger blocks (Fig. 1). Forest age is typically up to 150 years on public lands and up to 60 years on private lands. Land ownership changes in the past several decades have occurred primarily within and between the three main ownerships (federal, forest industry, non-industrial private).

Prior to Euro-American settlement and more recent (mid-1900s) pre-suppression, forest patterns in the Coastal Province were mainly influenced by large, high severity fires with a mean fire return interval of 200–300 years (Agosti 1993). Large fires in the past two centuries occurred on lands currently owned by the State (1868; 1929–1951), and the Forest Service (1850s–1880s). Windstorms also felled many trees. Historically, frequent burning at

the forest margins was conducted by native Americans. Euro-American settlement and timber harvest selectively removed large trees, and forests were cleared for grazing and farming in the latter 1800s to mid-1900s. In the early 1900s, railroad-based logging was prevalent. Thereafter, most coastal forests were intensively managed for timber production until the early 1990s, when large areas of the federal lands were designated as reserves for the northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis*) and marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*).

#### Data sources

Dead and live vegetation data were obtained from field plot samples established for regional forest inventories: Current Vegetation Survey (CVS) (Siuslaw National Forest,  $n = 317$ , measured 1993–1996), Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) (nonfederal lands,  $n = 497$ , measured 1997), Natural Resources Inventory (NRI) (Bureau of Land Management,  $n = 116$ , measured 1997) (Fig. 1). All plots were regularly spaced at 5.5 km apart excepting CVS plots (2.75 km apart), were about 1 ha in size, and were more forested. Data were combined into a consistent format and summary variables calculated for live vegetation and dead wood attributes, on a units per hectare basis for uniform land cover condition classes in each plot. Because plot sampling densities were non-uniform across component datasets, weighted mean values were calculated for area-based measures of plot data; e.g., CVS plot mean values had one-fourth the weight of other plot mean values. The minimum piece sizes

considered were 12.5 cm diameter at breast height county assessor plots; it was considered current for (dbh) and 2 m tall for snags, and 12.5 cm large-end 1990–1996. Geologic types were obtained from a diameter (led) and 1 m long for logs; this was the digitized geologic map of Oregon (Walker and minimum uniform size across the three datasets. MacLeod 1991). Ecoregions originated from a digitized map of Pacific Northwest Ecoregions. Historical Summary statistics were calculated for each plot for vegetation information was obtained for 1936 from a digitized forest vegetation map (minimum mapping number and volume of legacy snags, and percent of all unit of about 16 ha) developed by the Pacific Northwest Research Station from surveys conducted between 1933 and 1936 (Andrews and Cowling 1940). Historical vegetation data for 1900 was from a digitized Oregon map of timber resources surveyed by township between 1898 and 1902 obtained from forest stand, as determined by comparison of diameters and decay classes with characteristics of the present-day stand, after field data collection. Dead wood was considered as legacy if either (1) plot has <40% cover and snag or log is <50 cm dbh; or (2) plot has >40% cover, plot quadratic mean diameter (QMD) is <50 cm, and snag or log dbh is at least 50 cm greater than the plot QMD. Plot-level summarized dead wood data were converted into a point obtained for each plot.

A suite of 98 potential explanatory variables were evaluated based on hypothesized relationships with dead wood and on mapped data availability. Some of the variables are associated with patterns of live vegetation in the region (Ohmann and Spies 1998). To obtain mean (for continuous variables) or maximum (for categorical variables) plot values for each mapped explanatory variable, plot locations, defined as a window of 13, 30 m pixels arranged in a diamond pattern and centered by the plot's X and Y coordinates, were superimposed on 30-m-resolution GIS grids of each variable as described in Ohmann et al. (2007). This shape approximates the plot's layout on the ground (Ohmann et al. 2007). Climate variables were obtained from Daymet (Thornton et al. 1997) rasters at 1 km resolution based on 18 years of weather station data. Topography-related variables were derived from a digital elevation model having 30 m resolution, excepting potential relative radiation (Pierce et al. 2005) and topographic position index (calculated as the difference between a cell's elevation and the mean elevation of cells within a 300 m radius window). Land ownership data originated from a GIS coverage based on many data sources including pre-protection district maps and

Data analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted at four scales across the Coastal Province region. From the finest to coarsest grain size, these scales were: plots ( $n = 930$ ), subwatersheds (6th code hydrologic units (HUs),  $n = 345$ ), watersheds (5th code HUs = 84), and subbasins (4th code HUs = 18). Subwatersheds had a maximum size of about 225 km<sup>2</sup>, watersheds 1,265 km<sup>2</sup> and subbasins 3,260 km<sup>2</sup>. The hydrologic units-level analyses were conducted by summarizing plot-level data for each hydrologic unit, as follows: for continuous variables, mean per hydrologic unit; for categorical variables, percent of hydrologic unit in each class. Subwatersheds were nested within watersheds, and watersheds within subbasins. We used hydrologic units because they provided a means of linking plot data to riparian and in-stream processes, which have been strongly associated with dead wood patterns (Bisson et al. 1986; Fetherston et al. 1995).

Median values were calculated for each plot for each dead wood variable, and for each ownership group, two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were performed on the medians, because dead wood data are commonly skewed and would not meet normality assumptions (Ohmann and Waddell 2002). Weighted mean values are reported (Appendix A) for each plot for each dead wood variable province-wide and for ownership groups, to facilitate comparison with other studies (Ohmann and Waddell 2002; Spies and Cline 1988).

Stepwise multiple linear regression was conducted assessed for multiple scales using Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) (ter Braak 1986). Factors and forest management at plot, subwatershed, watershed, and subbasin scales. Univariate statistical analyses were carried out using SAS (SAS Institute Inc. 2004). Twelve candidate explanatory variables were considered (Table 1) at all scales, after the following selection process: (1) we omitted those that were highly correlated ( $r > 0.8$ ) with any other candidate variable at a given scale; (2) we transformed skewed variables; (3) we developed an up-to-6-variable stepwise multiple linear regression model for each single dead wood variable at each scale; (4) we evaluated variables that appeared in a range of univariate models and scales, to ensure inclusion of significant variables with relatively high  $R^2$  values for at least one univariate model at each scale; and (5) we retained two variables from each of six subsets of factors (climate, topography, current vegetation, ownership, historical vegetation, ecoregion/geology) (Table 1). Regression models were developed such that each explanatory variable must have a partial value of at least 0.05 to remain in the model. We carried out statistical tests using a permutation test under the reduced model, following the procedure and rationale described by Wimberly and Ohmann (2004).

The multivariate relationships of dead wood gradients to potentially related factors were assessed using Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) (ter Braak and Smilauer 1999) and CANOCO (ter Braak and Smilauer 1997).

**Table 1** Mapped explanatory variables included in scale-related analyses of dead wood in Coastal Oregon after reduction from a 96-variable set of candidate variables

Variable code	Class-Definition
ANNGDD	Climate-Total annual growing degree days
SMRTP	Climate-Moisture stress during the growing season, calculated as $\frac{SMRTMP}{SMRPRE} \times \frac{1}{P}$ , where SMRTMP is the mean temperature in May–September ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and SMRPRE is mean precipitation from May to September (mm)
RIVBUF100	Topography-Within 100 m of streams
SLPPCT	Topography-Slope(percent), from 30-m DEM
USFS	Land Ownership-USDA Forest Service
NIP	Land Ownership-Non-industrial private
QMDAALL	Current Vegetation-Quadratic Mean Diameter(cm) of all live trees
BAA4	Current Vegetation-Total basal area of all trees in size class 75–100 cm dbh
00NONFOR	1900 Vegetation-Nonforest
36CONOG	1936 Vegetation-Douglas-Pr, old-growth 15.6 cm dbh
ECOVOL	Ecoregion-Volcanics
ECOSD	Ecoregion-Mid-coastal sedimentary

Table 2 Median amount per hectare of dead wood characteristics, for the Coastal Province of Oregon (CP), and by ownership group, using plot-scale data

Variable <i>n</i> :	CP 694	Public 282	Private 412	<i>P</i> -value	USFS 81	BLM 117	State 84	FI 271	NIP 141
Total dead wood volume >12.5 cm	121.1	192.1	92.6	<0.001	204.0	136.6 <sup>ab</sup>	233.4 <sup>f</sup>	128.8 <sup>g</sup>	45.5 <sup>f</sup>
Total dead wood volume >50.0 cm	63.9	116.6	43.4	<0.001	118.8	80.1 <sup>ab</sup>	169.0 <sup>f</sup>	67.9 <sup>g</sup>	12.6 <sup>f</sup>
<i>Snags</i>									
Number of snags >12.5 cm*(STPH12)	8.2	22.7	0	<0.001	32.3	20.8 <sup>b</sup>	18.0 <sup>b</sup>	2.2 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Number of snags >50 cm*(STPH50)	0	2.7	0	<0.001	9.9	2.6 <sup>b</sup>	2.2 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Number of snags >50 cm, >15 m tall	0	0	0	<0.001	0	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>	0 <sup>cd</sup>
Volume of snags >12.5 cm	7.3	16.4	0	<0.001	40.9	11.8 <sup>b</sup>	13.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.6 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>cd</sup>
Volume of snags >50 cm	0	8.2	0	<0.001	31.6	6.7 <sup>bc</sup>	2.8 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Volume of snags 12.5–25.0 cm*(SVPH1)	0	0	0	<0.001	0.5	0.7 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>ab</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Volume of snags 25.0–50.0 cm*(SVPH2)	0	1.0	0	<0.001	3.5	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Volume of snags 50.0–75.0 cm*(SVPH3)	0	0	0	<0.001	3.8	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Volume of snags 75.0–100.0 cm*(SVPH4)	0	0	0	<0.001	0.0	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Volume of snags >100.0 cm*(SVPH5)	0	0	0	<0.001	16.2	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Snag biomass (Mg)	1.9	5.2	0	<0.001	10.4	4.6 <sup>b</sup>	4.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.5 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Snag carbon (Mg C)	1.0	2.7	0	<0.001	5.4	2.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.3 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Number of legacy snags*(TPHREMS)	0	0	0	0.03	ab0	0 <sup>ab</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Volume of legacy snags >12.5 cm*(VPHREMS)	0	0	0	0.03	abc	0 <sup>ab</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
% of all >12.5 cm snags, legacy*(REMPCTS)	0	0	0	n.s.	ab 0	0 <sup>ab</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>ab</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Logs</i>									
Volume of logs >12.5 cm	103.8	149.4	78.1	<0.001	135.3 <sup>c</sup>	115.2 <sup>ac</sup>	201.5 <sup>b</sup>	114.5 <sup>f</sup>	33.7 <sup>d</sup>
Volume of logs >25 cm	91.6	138.3	66.7	<0.001	125.5 <sup>c</sup>	100.2 <sup>ac</sup>	188.3 <sup>b</sup>	101.9 <sup>g</sup>	25.1 <sup>d</sup>
Volume of logs >50 cm	53.1	96.7	37.2	<0.001	63.9 <sup>c</sup>	62.0 <sup>ac</sup>	146.7 <sup>b</sup>	65.2 <sup>e</sup>	9.9 <sup>d</sup>
Volume of logs 12.5–25.0 cm*(DVPH1)	8.1	7.3	8.7	0.04	11.6	6.2 <sup>bd</sup>	7.1 <sup>bd</sup>	10.5 <sup>bc</sup>	5.8 <sup>d</sup>
Volume of logs 25.0–50.0 cm*(DVPH2)	25.7	32.4	23.8	0.001	27.1	27.1 <sup>a</sup>	34.8 <sup>a</sup>	29.1 <sup>a</sup>	11.6 <sup>b</sup>
Volume of logs 50.0–75.0 cm*(DVPH3)	20.1	26.2	17.2	0.02	26.2	26.2 <sup>a</sup>	39.4 <sup>b</sup>	24.7 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>
Volume of logs 75.0–100.0 cm*(DVPH4)	0	0	0	0.001	ac 0	0 <sup>ac</sup>	33.0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>
Volume of logs >100.0 cm*(DVPH5)	0	0	0	n.s.	0	0 <sup>ac</sup>	42.2 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
Log biomass (Mg)	28.1	39.0	24.3	<0.001	33.4	30.4 <sup>a</sup>	59.3 <sup>b</sup>	34.6 <sup>a</sup>	10.2 <sup>c</sup>
Log carbon (Mg C)	14.5	20.3	12.4	<0.001	17.3	15.8 <sup>a</sup>	30.9 <sup>b</sup>	17.9 <sup>a</sup>	5.2 <sup>c</sup>
Volume of legacy logs >12.5 cm*(VPHREMD)	0	0	0	n.s.	0	0 <sup>b</sup>	79.0 <sup>c</sup>	22.1 <sup>d</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
Legacy logs, % of all >12.5 cm logs*(REMPCTD)	0	0	0	n.s.	ab 0	0 <sup>bd</sup>	33.8 <sup>e</sup>	20.5 <sup>e</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>

Only plots occurring on the 5.5 km spacing grid were used. *P*-values indicate difference in dead wood amount between public and private ownerships; for the five ownership classes, different superscript letters indicate significant differences (0.05) between classes in dead wood amount, from two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Asterisks indicate dead wood attributes used in canonical correspondence analysis (CCA), and abbreviations are codes used in CCA biplots. FI is forest industry. NIP is non-industrial private. Snag size is dbh; log is led; volume units is m<sup>3</sup>. Weighted means are available in Appendix A

## Results

### Regional patterns

Much of the dead wood present in the Coastal Province was in the form of logs: about 85% of the biomass and 70% of the volume of dead wood

occurred as logs (Appendix A). Very large logs (>100 cm led) comprised about 65% of total log volume, and very large snags (100 cm dbh) comprised about half of total snag volume. The volumes of moderate-sized logs and snags (25–50 dbh or led) were about the same as the volume of large logs and snags (75–100 cm dbh or led), indicating there were

probably fewer larger-sized pieces. Legacy snags and logs were each about 20% of the total volume of snags and logs. About 70% of total dead wood volume was >50 cm dbh or led.

Relationships with ownership

Snag amounts differed according to ownership (Table 2). The volume of snags was much higher on public than private lands (mean 44.3 vs. 13.0 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>), as was the number of large snags (mean 7.9 vs. 2.3 snags ha<sup>-1</sup>). In fact, federal ownerships had higher snag amounts than private ownerships for all the snag metrics considered (Table 2). Among public owners (USFS, BLM, and State), the USFS had about twice the mean amounts and of the other public ownerships for all snags (67.7 (s.e. 4.2) vs. 37.9 (7.1) and 29.7 (4.4), respectively) and for large snags (55.8 (3.7) vs. 32.0 (7.0) and 19.7 (4.0), respectively). State lands were similar to private ownerships for some snag metrics, such as the volume of 75–100 cm dbh snags (Table 2). In general, the number and volume of small snags per hectare on private ownerships was about 50–70% of that of public ownerships, whereas the number and volume of large snags was only about 20–30% (Appendix A).

Public ownerships had higher log volumes than did private ownerships for nearly all sizes of logs (Table 2). The exception to this was very small logs

(12.5–25.0 cm led), which occurred at slightly higher volumes on private lands. In general, the volume of small logs on private lands was about 60–80% that of public lands, whereas the volume of large logs was about 45–70% that of public lands. Log volumes for all sizes of logs were much lower on non-industrial private than on forest industry lands. Neither legacy log nor snag amounts as a proportion of the total differed significantly between the generalized public-private ownership groups (Table 2).

Total volume of dead wood and scale

The total volume of dead wood varied at fairly fine scales. Variability among subwatersheds was high and apparently clustered (Fig. 2a). At watershed and subbasin scales, the variation decreased. For example, total dead wood volume in the interior forested watersheds and subbasins of the Coastal Province tended to be consistently low relative to the more coastal watersheds and subbasins, regardless of scale (Figs. 2b, c).

The factors most strongly associated with variation in the total volume of dead wood differed by scale (Table 3). Climate was the most important at all but the subbasin scale: lower dead wood volume occurred where growing season moisture stress was greater at the other scales. Current vegetation (basal area of large trees) was also positively associated with total dead wood volume at all but the coarsest scales.

Fig. 2 Total volume of dead wood (m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>) in coastal Oregon, USA, summarized by (a) subwatershed, (b) watershed, (c) subbasin. Twelve subwatersheds, outlined in black, contained plots but no dead wood. Thirty subwatersheds, colored white, were non-forested (not sampled). Two central interior watersheds contained only two plots (primarily non-forested)




Table 3 Stepwise regression models used to predict total dead wood volume at four spatial scales in coastal Oregon, USA

Model	Variable	Coefficient	F	P-value <sup>a</sup>	Partial R <sup>b, c</sup>	Cumulative R <sup>b</sup>
Plot	SMRTP	£10.09	157.11	<0.001	0.14	0.14
	BAA4 (ln)	1.71	99.66	<0.001	0.08	0.23
Subwatershed	SMRTP	£12.50	162.56	<0.001	0.32	0.32
	BAA4(ln)	1.77	46.31	<0.001	0.08	0.40
	36CONOG	4.70	44.55	<0.001	0.07	0.47
Watershed	SMRTP	£233.50	46.34	<0.001	0.36	0.36
	SLPPCT	3.18	22.05	<0.001	0.14	0.50
	BAA4 (ln)	41.40	10.35	<0.001	0.06	0.56
Subbasin	NIP	£292.32	22.37	0.028	0.58	0.58
	RIVBUF100	218.59	5.90	<0.001	0.12	0.70

<sup>a</sup> Plot and subwatershed dead wood volume square-root-transformed to meet normality assumptions

<sup>b</sup> Derived from a randomization test based on permutations under the reduced model

<sup>c</sup> Value of 0.05 required for inclusion in model

Current and historical vegetation patterns (basal arearelativity dominance of gradients and importance of of large trees and 1936 conifer old growth) were some variables shifted among scales (Fig Table 4). significant at the subwatershed scale. Topography wasAt both plot and subwatershed scales, the full set of significant at coarser scales, with dead wood volume explanatory variables explained 17% of the cumulative increasing with % slope at the watershed scale, and five variation in dead wood gradients (Axes 1 and 2); increasing with proximity to streams at the subbasin at the watershed, 32%; and at the subbasin, 56%. At scale. Ownership was most important at the coarsest plot and subwatershed scales, the dominant gradient scale, with a strong negative association between the of explanatory variables was associated with large amount of non-industrial private land ownership and diameter trees, high basal area stands and USFS land. total dead wood volume. Total dead wood volume was Dead wood variables scoring low on this axis were most predictable at the subbasin scale (Table related to legacy logs and snags, whereas high-scoring

Total dead wood volume was most highly corre- variables were related to snag volume. The second related with total log volume at all scales (Pearson axis differed between the two scales. At the plot scale, correlation coefficient 0.97 at the plot scale and it ranged from USFS lands, steeper slopes, or volcanic higher for other scales). Thus, these regression sites, to sites with high amounts of old forest in 1936, models tend to describe dead wood-environmental high amounts of nonforest in 1900, or high growing pattern-process relationships for logs more than season moisture stress. Dead wood characteristics snags, and since most of total log volume comes scoring low on this axis were legacy snags, while from large logs, for large logs in particular. There- volume of large logs scored higher. The second axis at fore, to evaluate the influence of environmental the subwatershed scale showed a stronger influence of patterns in terms of a more complete suite of dead percent slope and area of nonforest in 1900. Dead wood characteristics, we also characterized multivar- wood characteristics scoring low included volume of iate dead wood gradients and their relationships to large snags and those scoring high included volume of environment according to scale. small diameter snags and logs.

Multivariate gradients of explanatory variables and dead wood

At the watershed scale, the dominant gradient ranged from steep slopes, old forest in 1936, high percent area within 100 m of streams, and volcanic soils, to area of nonforest in 1900, many annual growing degree days, and high growing season

The gradients of explanatory and dead wood variables moisture stress. Dead wood variables scoring low on were generally similar among the scales but the this axis included legacy log volume and those




Fig. 3 Biplots from CCAs of dead wood attributes at four scales: a) plot, b) subwatershed, c) watershed, and d) subbasin in coastal Oregon, USA. Vectors show important explanatory variables; line length indicates magnitude of contribution to explained variation in dead wood variables. Triangle locations indicate relationship of each dead wood

variable to the explanatory variables. Dead wood variable codes (triangle labels) are defined in Table 1. Explanatory variables are listed in Table 2. An O variable name suffix indicates logarithmic transformation. Axes are labeled with dead wood attributes based on dead wood variable scores in biplot scaling

scoring high included volume of small snags. The relative importance at watershed and subbasin scales second axis ranged from sites with large diameter trees, high basal area stands, and occurrence of USFS land, to NIP lands. Dead wood variables from high percent area within 100 m of streams to scoring low on this gradient included density and volume of large snags; variables scoring high included percent of legacy logs and snags and from volume of very large snags and logs to volume of small logs and snags. Ownership and historical vegetation variables were of greater scale ranged from NIP land to USFS land, high basal

Table 4 Relative contribution to explained variation (Axes 1 and 2) in dead wood gradients by subsets of factors in coastal Oregon, from CCA, at four scales

Subset of explanatory variable	Percentage of total inertia			
	Scale of Model			
	Plot <i>n</i> = 903	Subwatershed <i>n</i> = 337	Watershed <i>n</i> = 84	Subbasin <i>n</i> = 18
Climate(ANNGDD, SMRTP)	2.0	2.4	12.2	15.4
Topography(RIVBF100, SLPPCT)	1.0	1.8	11.0	32.9
Current Veg.(QMDAALL, BAA4)	14.3	11.8	10.6	20.8
Ownership(USFS, NIP)	7.7	6.7	15.0	32.9
Historical Veg.(36CONOG, 00NONFOR)	1.4	2.8	16.5	33.1
Ecoregion/Geology(ECOVOL, ECOSED)	1.9	2.6	9.0	16.1

Seventeen dead wood variables were included (see Table 2). Percentage of total inertia is from a CCA on each subset; thus correlations among variables between subsets may result in totals of greater than 100%. Percentages should be compared among subsets at each scale, not among scales

area stands, and large diameter live trees. Dead wood variables scoring low on this axis included legacy log volume and small log volume; variables scoring high included volume of large snags.

## Discussion

This study illustrates that dead wood patterns are spatially complex and highly variable depending on the type of dead wood assessed. Relative to our original objectives, dead wood volume varied highly across the region, and coarser resolutions decreased the variation in dead wood volume among spatial units. Our evaluation of the relative importance of biophysical and ownership characteristics to regional dead wood abundance indicated two main attributes of these relationships in coastal Oregon forests. First, the importance of biophysical characteristics and ownership patterns to dead wood differs according to scale. Second, their relative importance also changes depending on which dead wood attributes are considered (e.g., snags vs. logs). These general patterns lead to a great deal of complexity in dead wood relationships with biophysical and ownership factors. However, the root of this complexity is the specificity of the relationships, not a lack of relationships between dead wood patterns and the processes considered.

This study illustrates the importance of being specific about which components of dead wood are being evaluated, with regard to size, type, and origin. Univariate analysis of individual log and snag variables at the plot level (multiple linear regression; results not shown) showed strong relationships of snags with current vegetation and USFS ownership, and logs with historical vegetation; this is consistent with the results of the plot-level multivariate analysis (Fig. 3a). The dead wood volume regression analysis primarily reflects the volume of large logs, where most of the volume is concentrated. Volume-based measures are useful because they are related to carbon sequestration and wildlife concerns, and an overall measure of dead wood volume may be easier to obtain than one parsed by size or type of structure. The multivariate analysis, with snag characteristics more evenly weighted against logs, brought forward other important biophysical and ownership relationships, such as the importance of current vegetation and ownership to snags, that would be missed in an evaluation of dead wood volume alone. These relationships are important because (1) the processes creating and decaying these two types (snags and logs) are different, and (2) wildlife habitat and piece longevity varies by dead wood type. The persistence time of an individual snag may be quite short—on the order of 10–20 years, whereas a large log may take centuries to decompose (Harmon et al. 1986).

### Relationships with ownership

Ownership was especially important in this study at the scales of watersheds and subbasins. Its relevance at these scales reflects in part the scale of ownership patterns in the Coastal Province. A single ownership dominates in many subwatersheds, especially for Forest Service, State, and forest industry ownerships. However, BLM parcels may be as small as 2.25<sup>2</sup> km<sup>2</sup>. Non-industrial private lands tend toward a more linear configuration, reflecting their location near larger streams. Management varies according to the size and spatial and temporal distribution of land allocation types within each land unit: e.g., on private lands, clearcuts are typically located in upslope areas in patches as large as 49 ha and industrial forestry rotation lengths may be ~30–50 years, whereas thinning or no-action areas are typically located along narrow, riparian corridors. Forest Service, State, and forest industry are the major landowners, so larger blocks predominate and ownership is mainly patterned at larger patch sizes. Private non-industrial lands historically tended to be cleared, whereas forest industry lands historically contained older, higher productivity forests, and public lands were sites of recent historical Pres.

Research in other regions has also found that dead wood varies with management history and disturbance (Grove 2001; Sturtevant et al. 1997; Tinker and Knight 2000). In research evaluating unmanaged and managed stands with varying levels of management intensity (Green and Peterken 1997; Krankina et al. 2002), older or less-intensively managed stands had dead wood amounts more similar to those of natural stands, and disturbance history was important. In the Coastal Province, Wimberly and Ohmann (2004) found that ownership was always the most important predictor related to change in the amount of large conifer forest cover over 60 years at similar scales and with similar potential explanatory variables to this study. The results of the present study, with biophysical and historical factors also important to dead wood volume patterns, imply that there may be more intricate influences on dead wood patterns than those affecting change in large conifer forests as indicated by Wimberly and Ohmann (2004). This probably reflects the diversity of disturbance types that can create dead wood, and the long persistence

time for dead wood, during which it is subject to numerous influences on decomposition.

### Current and historical vegetation

The importance of historical vegetation is highlighted by legacy logs comprising over one-third of log volume. Although the area that was coniferous old-growth in 1936 in coastal Oregon has declined to about 44% of prior amounts through conversion to younger forest (Wimberly and Ohmann 2004), dead wood from past older forests contributes much to today's dead wood pools at broader scales. The inverse relationship of historically (1900) nonforested areas with large dead wood abundance at subwatershed, watershed, and subbasin scales (Fig. 3), indicates that afforestation may not yet be producing high quantities of large dead wood, and that land use effects remain for long time periods. The difference in the explanatory variables between logs and snags (i.e. historical vegetation and climate vs. current vegetation) reflects the differences in the processes and longevities of snags and logs. Snags are typically the first stage of dead wood after trees die, hence are more proximal to live trees than are logs, and fall to become logs in relatively short time periods. Logs tend to persist longer and would more likely be related to historical disturbance and longer-term vegetation patterns and broader climatic trends.

### Relationships with climate

Climate was of some importance in the watershed scale multivariate analysis. This may reflect a complex of influences including productivity and decay rates. Sites with lower summer moisture stress in the Coastal Province are more productive and have the capacity to generate larger pieces of dead wood (Franklin and Dyrness 1988). Dead wood on these sites may also have lower decomposition rates, resulting in longer retention times (Harmon et al. 1986). The importance of climate at intermediate scales may be a function of the pattern of climate resulting from the combination of elevation, orographic and maritime gradients that divide the province into smaller climatic subregions. Further work is needed to determine how much climate

within this region influences processes that affect dead wood abundance.

### Topographic effects

The potential importance of topography to amounts of dead wood has been widely noted. Higher topographic positions have been shown to have higher potential for tree death and higher mass and volume of dead wood (Gale 2000; Muller 2003), but steep slopes and high landslide and debris flow potential in areas of great topographic relief and dense stream networks may result in high amounts of dead wood accumulating in areas near streams (Kennedy and Spies 2007; May and Gresswell 2003). This was reflected in the present study by the importance of topography, in particular the characteristics of proximity to streams and percent slope, to dead wood patterns at the broadest scale (subbasin) we evaluated.

This study illustrates the importance of a multi-factor, multi-scale perspective in understanding dead wood characteristics and patterns. Snags and logs should be considered separately if snags are of concern. Forest history is important, especially to large log abundance. Scale relationships are not straightforward; climate's proposed influence at broadest scales was overridden by disturbance and mid-scale dynamics (ownership and current vegetation). This leads to a management challenge in setting dead wood targets because of the dynamic nature of dead wood, and the importance of historical effects. Because ownership now drives much of the pattern of dead wood, efforts to manage and develop policies for dead wood at watershed and landscape scales should take ownership into account.

**Acknowledgments** Thanks to Lisa Ganio for statistical consulting advice, Janet Ohmann and Michael Wimberly for valuable discussions, and Mark Harmon, Julia Jones, and John Hayes for comments on earlier drafts of this manuscript

### Implications for regional biodiversity and future dead wood patterns

Management for dead wood on lands currently depauperate in dead wood would increase habitat for dead wood associates and promote regional biodiversity. Non-industrial private lands, with their low dead wood amounts, probably provide a small contribution to dead-wood-associated biodiversity, though they may provide other components of biodiversity, such as hardwoods in the coniferous landscape (Kennedy and Spies 2005). If other habitat requirements are met, biodiversity of dead wood-associated species might be highest on State and Forest Service ownerships, because they had more large log volume and snag volume, respectively.

Some Coastal Province management practices have only been in effect for a few decades, so future dead wood patterns may differ. For example, federal land management for late successional and old-growth forests began in the mid-1990s. Likewise, the long-term effect of intensive management on forest productivity and dead wood is poorly understood (Harmon et al. 1996), but simulation modeling indicates that future live vegetation patterns will more strongly follow ownership patterns (Spies et al. 2007).

### Appendix A

Weighted mean amount  $\bar{R}_1$  and standard error (s.e.) of attributes of dead wood in coastal Oregon and by public and private ownership classes, using plot-scale data. Snag size is dbh, log is led; volume units is  $m^3$

Variable	Coastal Province (n = 930) Mean(s.e.)	All Public (n = 511) Mean(s.e.)	All Private (n = 419) Mean(s.e.)
Total dead wood volume >12.5 cm	186.5(6.4)	249.6(10.4)	140.2(7.0)
Total dead wood volume >50.0 cm	132.8(5.8)	188.9(9.8)	91.7(6.0)
<i>Snags</i>			
Number of snags >12.5 cm	34.1(2.0)	43.8(2.7)	26.9(3.0)
Number of snags >50 cm	4.7(0.3)	7.9(0.5)	2.3(0.2)
Number of snags >50 cm and >15 m tall	0.6(0.1)	1.2(0.1)	0.2(0.1)
Volume of snags >12.5 cm	26.3(1.7)	44.3(3.0)	13.0(1.5)

## Appendix A continued

Variable	Coastal Province ( <i>n</i> = 930) Mean(s.e.)	All Public ( <i>n</i> = 511) Mean(s.e.)	All Private ( <i>n</i> = 419) Mean(s.e.)
Volume of snags >50 cm	19.6(1.6)	35.5(2.9)	8.0(1.3)
Volume of snags 12.5–25.0 cm	2.6(0.2)	3.2(0.3)	2.2(0.3)
Volume of snags 25.0–50.0 cm	4.0(0.3)	5.7(0.5)	2.8(0.4)
Volume of snags 50.0–75.0 cm	3.0(0.3)	5.4(0.5)	1.2(0.2)
Volume of snags 75.0–100.0 cm	4.2(0.4)	7.3(0.7)	2.0(0.4)
Volume of snags >100.0 cm	12.4(1.3)	22.8(2.4)	4.8(1.0)
Snag biomass (Mg)	7.4(0.5)	12.5(0.9)	3.7(0.5)
Snag carbon (Mg C)	3.9(0.3)	6.5(0.5)	1.9(0.2)
Number of legacy snags >12.5 cm	1.4(0.1)	1.9(0.2)	1.0(0.1)
Volume of legacy snags >12.5 cm	7.1(0.8)	10.0(1.3)	5.1(1.0)
% of all snags >12.5 cm, legacy	19.9(1.4)	22.0(2.2)	18.3(1.8)
<i>Logs</i>			
Volume of logs >12.5 cm	160.2(5.7)	205.2(9.2)	127.2(6.5)
Volume of logs >25 cm	149.0(5.6)	194.8(9.1)	115.3(6.3)
Volume of logs >50 cm	113.2(5.1)	153.4(8.5)	83.7(5.6)
Volume of logs 12.5–25.0 cm	11.3(0.4)	10.4(0.6)	11.8(0.5)
Volume of logs 25.0–50.0 cm	35.8(1.1)	41.4(1.7)	31.6(1.4)
Volume of logs 50.0–75.0 cm	40.1(1.7)	49.1(2.7)	33.5(2.2)
Volume of logs 75.0–100.0 cm	34.2(2.1)	50.3(3.8)	22.4(2.0)
Volume of logs >100.0 cm	38.9(3.2)	54.0(5.5)	27.8(3.3)
Log biomass (Mg)	44.2(1.6)	54.4(2.4)	36.8(1.9)
Log carbon (Mg C)	23.0(0.8)	28.3(1.3)	19.1(1.0)
Volume of legacy logs >12.5 cm	55.2(3.3)	63.4(5.0)	49.2(4.3)
Legacy logs, % of all >12.5 cm logs	21.9(1.0)	20.2(1.3)	23.1(1.4)

## References

- Agee JK (1993) Fire ecology of Pacific northwest forests. Island Press, Washington, DC
- Andrews HJ, Cowlin RW (1940) Forest resources of the Douglas-Pr region. Miscellaneous Publication No. 389. United States Department of Agriculture, Washington DC
- Bisson PA, Bilby RE, Bryant MD, Dolloff CA, Grette GB, House RA, Murphy ML, Koski KV, Sedell JR (1986) Large woody debris in forested streams in the Pacific Northwest: past, present, and future. In: Streamside Management: Forestry and Fishery Interactions, pp. 143–190. Institute of Forest Resources, University of Washington, Seattle, WA
- Butler R, Schlaepfer R (2004) Spruce snag quantification by coupling colour infrared aerial photos and a GIS. *Forest Ecol Manage* 195:325
- Fetherston KL, Naiman RJ, Bilby RE (1995) Large woody debris, physical process, and riparian forest development in montane river networks of the Pacific Northwest. *Geomorphology* 13:133–144
- Franklin JF, Dyrness CT (1988) Natural vegetation of Oregon and Washington. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, OR
- Gale N (2000) The aftermath of tree death: coarse woody debris and the topography in four tropical rain forests. *Can J For Res* 30:1489–1493
- Green P, Peterken GF (1997) Variation in the amount of dead wood in the woodlands of the Lower Wye Valley, UK in relation to the intensity of management. *Forest Ecol Manage* 98:229–238
- Grove SJ (2001) Extent and composition of dead wood in Australian lowland tropical rainforest with different management histories. *Forest Ecol Manage* 154:35–53
- Harmon ME, Franklin JF, Swanson FJ, Sollins P, Gregory SV, Lattin JD, Anderson NH, Cline SP, Aumen NG, Sedell JR, Lienkaemper GWK, Cromack J, Cummins KW (1986) Ecology of coarse woody debris in temperate ecosystems. Vol 15. *Advances in Ecological Research*
- Harmon ME, Garman SL, Ferrell WK (1996) Modeling historical patterns of tree utilization in the Pacific Northwest: carbon sequestration implications. *Ecol Appl* 6:641–652
- Kennedy RSH, Spies TA (2005) Dynamics of hardwood patches in a conifer matrix: 54 years of change in a forested landscape in Coastal Oregon, USA. *Biol Conserv* 122:363–374
- Kennedy RSH, Spies TA (2007) An assessment of dead wood patterns and their relationships with biophysical characteristics in two landscapes with different disturbance histories in coastal Oregon (USA). *Can J Forest Res* 37:940–956
- Krankina ON, Harmon ME, Kukuev YA, Treyfeld RF, Kashpor NN, Kresnov VG, Skudin VM, Protasov NA, Yatskov M, Spycher G, Povarov ED (2002) Coarse woody debris in forest regions of Russia. *Can J Forest Res* 32:768–778
- Lefsky MA, Cohen WB, Parker GG, Harding DJ (2002) Lidar remote sensing for ecosystem studies. *BioScience* 52:19–30
- Levin SA (2000) Multiple scales and the maintenance of biodiversity. *Ecosystems* 3:498–506

- Lindenmayer DB, Franklin JF (2002) Conserving forest biodiversity: a comprehensive multiscaled approach. Island Press, Washington, DC
- Maser C, Tarrant RF, Trappe JM, Franklin JF (1988) From the forest to the sea: a story of fallen trees. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-229. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station; U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Portland, OR
- May CL, Gresswell RE (2003) Large wood recruitment and redistribution in headwater streams in the southern Oregon Coast Range, USA. *Can J Forest Res* 33:1352D1362
- McCune B, Mefford MJ (1999) PC-ORD 4.33. Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data. MjM Software, Gleneden Beach, OR
- Muller RN (2003) Landscape patterns of change in coarse woody debris accumulation in an old-growth deciduous forest on the Cumberland Plateau, southeastern Kentucky. *Can J Forest Res* 33:763D769
- Ohmann JL, Gregory MJ, Spies TA (2007) Influence of environment, disturbance, and ownership on forest vegetation biodiversity of coastal Oregon. *Ecol Appl* 17:18D33
- Ohmann JL, Spies TA (1998) Regional gradient analysis and spatial pattern of woody plant communities of Oregon forests. *Ecol Monogr* 68:151D182
- Ohmann JL, Waddell KL (2002) Regional patterns of dead wood in forested habitats of Oregon and Washington. In: Laudenslayer WF Jr, Shea PJ, Valentine BE, Weatherspoon CP, Lisle TE (eds) Proceedings of the Symposium on the Ecology and Management of Dead Wood in Western Forests, USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA, pp 535D560
- Pabst RJ, Spies TA (1999) Structure and composition of unmanaged riparian forests in the coastal mountains of Oregon, U.S.A. *Can J Forest Res* 29:1557D1573
- Pierce KB, Lookingbill T, Urban DL (2005) A simple method for estimating potential relative radiation (PRR) for landscape-scale vegetation analysis. *Landsc Ecol* 20:137D147
- Poulos HM, Camp AE, Gatewood RG, Loomis L (2007) A hierarchical approach for scaling forest inventory and fuels data from local to landscape scales in the Davis Mountains, Texas, USA. *Forest Ecol Manage* 244:1
- SAS Institute Inc. (2004) The SAS System 8.02 for Windows User's Guide. SAS Institute, Cary, NC
- Skinner CN (2002) Influence of fire on the dynamics of dead woody material in forests of California and southwestern Oregon. In: Proceedings of the symposium on the ecology and management of dead wood in western forests. General Technical Report PSW-GTR-181. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA, pp 445D454
- Sollins P (1982) Input and decay of coarse woody debris in coniferous stands in western Oregon and Washington. *Can J Forest Res* 12:18D28
- Spies TA, Cline SP (1988) Coarse woody debris in forests and plantations of coastal Oregon. In: Maser C, Tarrant RF, Trappe JM, Franklin JF (eds) From the forest to the sea: a story of fallen trees. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-229. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station; U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Portland, OR, pp 5D24
- Spies TA, McComb BC, Kennedy RSH, McGrath M, Olsen KA, Pabst RJ (2007) Potential effects of forest policies on terrestrial biodiversity in a multi-ownership province. *Ecol Appl* 17:48D65
- Sturtevant BR, Bissonette JA, Long JN, Roberts DW (1997) Coarse woody debris as a function of age, stand structure, and disturbance in boreal Newfoundland. *Ecol Appl* 7:702D712
- ter Braak CJF (1986) Canonical correspondence analysis: a new eigenvector technique for multivariate direct gradient analysis. *Ecology* 67:1167D1179
- ter Braak CJF, Smilauer P (1997) Canoco for Windows Version 4.5. Biometris/Plant Research International, Wageningen, The Netherlands
- Thornton PE, Running SW, White MA (1997) Generating surfaces of daily meteorological variables over large regions of complex terrain. *J Hydrol* 190:214D251
- Tinker DB, Knight DH (2000) Coarse woody debris following fire and logging in Wyoming lodgepole pine forests. *Ecosystems* 3:472D483
- U.S.D.A. Forest Service and U.S.D.I. Bureau of Land Management (1994) Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents within the range of the Northern Spotted Owl. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service and U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management
- Walker GW, MacLeod NS (1991) Geologic map of Oregon, scale 1:500,000, two sheets. U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, CO
- Wimberly MC, Ohmann JL (2004) A multi-scale assessment of human and environmental constraints on forest land cover change. *Landsc Ecol* 19:631D646
- Wu J (2004) Effects of changing scale on landscape pattern analysis: scaling relations. *Landsc Ecol* 19:125D138
- Wulder MA, Franklin SE (eds) (2003) Remote sensing of forest environments: concepts and case studies. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA