The LAndscape Management Policy Simulator (LAMPS)



Pete Bettinger Department of Forest Resources Oregon State University

CLAMS

Landscape Planning and Analysis Process



Forest policies influencing land management

Ownership	Policy	Goals	Strategies
Forest Service / Bureau of Land Management	Northwest Forest Plan; Individual Forest Plans	Late-successional / old-growth forest conditions; T&E species; aquatic systems; commodity production	Reserves; Matrix; Green tree retention; Adaptive management areas
State	State Forest Plans	Healthy forests; Commodity production; T&E species	Structure-based management; Habitat Conservation Plan
Industry / Non-Industrial Private	Organizational Policies; State Forest Practices Act	Commodity production; Protect environment and fish / wildlife habitat	Retain trees in clearcuts; Streamside protection rules

CLAMS

Spatial units recognized



Parcels

Harvest Blocks



Land Allocations



4th Field Watersheds



LAndscape Management Policy Simulator

5th Field Watersheds



Land Ownerships



CLAMS

Management intentions (what landowners intend to do)

Need to make assumptions for each landowner group: Federal, State, Forest Industry, Non-Industrial Private

Key assumptions:

- Timing and intensity of treatments Minimum clearcut harvest ages Spatial considerations:
 - green-up blocking of management units for treatment Regeneration stand management intensity



How do we arrive at the assumptions? Landowner surveys conducted by the Oregon Department of Forestry Numerous meetings with landowners and land managers Research

Policy guidance (what landowners have to do)

Need to make assumptions for each landowner group: Federal, State, Forest Industry, Non-Industrial Private

Key policies:

Riparian management Leave trees (clumps) Owl reserves Interior habitat areas Structure-based management

LAndscape Management Policy Simulator

What is it? Principal Developers Simulation Notes Software Management Options Spatial Considerations Riparian Management Choices Leave Tree Strategies Transition Probabilities Stochastic Events Simulation Results



What is it?

- A simulation model that seeks to emulate management behavior of four landowner groups: federal, state, forest industry, non-industrial private.
- A model that allows an evaluation of forest policies across a large area, and over a long time frame.
- A model that merges strategic and tactical planning considerations so that processes at a variety of spatial and temporal scales can be represented, and to facilitate an analysis of biological effects at a scale appropriate for the species / function being considered.
- A Model I formulation of forest management behavior that seeks to represent some "aspiration" of a group of landowners.

Principal Developers

Pacific NW Research Station



Thomas Spies



OREGON STATE

Simulation Notes

Projections are for 100 years, with 5-year time steps.All ownerships must be classified as either federal, state, forest industry, or non-industrial private.Classification of owners and owner policies is flexible.Maximum area simulated: Depends on computer's RAM

Software

User Interface: Visual Basic

τL	Andscape Management Policy Simulator	
<u>F</u> ile	Develop a Scenario About	_
L.	<u>F</u> ile Directory <u>P</u> roblem Size Data	nt Policy Simulator
	Information about Land Owners	<u>M</u> anagement Options
	Information about Land Allocations	
18	Information about Prescriptions	at it is a second second
đ	Miscellaneous Parameters	A CONTRACT OF A
	Land Owner Group Scheduling Parameters 🕨	
	Disturbances	A MARKED AND A
	<u>R</u> eports	
	1000月11日1月1日	
	Go Policy Scenario	Exit
L		

Management Options

"Federal" scheduling process Monte Carlo approach to scheduling clearcuts.

- Constraints:
- A maximum percentage of "matrix" land area can be clearcut in any one 5-year time period.
- A minimum amount of "older forest" within a watershed must be present before any clearcuts can be scheduled in that watershed.
- Can set a target (upper bound) number of acres to be clearcut or thinned in each time period.
- Can set a target (upper bound) amount of volume to be harvested in each time period.

Management Options

"State" scheduling process

Monte Carlo approach to scheduling clearcuts. Objective is measured by the achievement of maximum even-flow of timber harvest volume, using binary search.

Constraints:

Achievement of structural conditions by State management District.

Maintain a distribution of sizes of Interior Habitat Area (IHA) patches (essentially older forest conditions spatially connected).

Management Options

"Forest Industry" scheduling process

Value maximization approach to scheduling clearcuts. Objective can be measured by the achievement of maximum even-flow of timber harvest volume, using binary search. Alternatively, target harvest levels can be set by the user as goals to achieve.

Constraints:

Attempt to emulate a historical clearcut size distribution by "blocking" management units together for harvest using a dynamic process (block patterns are not fixed).

Management Options

"Non-Industrial Private" scheduling process Harvest probability approach to scheduling clearcuts. No clear objective function to maximize, although target harvest levels can be set by the user as goals to achieve.

> Constraints: Attempt to emulate a historical clearcut size distribution.

Spatial Considerations

Adjacency relationships among clearcut harvests Clearcut size distributions Owl habitat areas Interior Habitat Areas Federal watershed conditions State District forest structure conditions

Riparian Management Choices

- 1) No harvest within Oregon Forest Practices Act buffers.
- 2) Allow partial cutting within Oregon Forest Practices Act buffers, to the extent allowed by the Act.
- 3) Choice #2, yet no harvest of hardwoods within 100 feet of a stream.
- 4) Choice #1, and no harvest of hardwoods within 100 feet of a stream.

1	No harvest in FPA buffers Harvest of other hardwoods within 100 ft	Harvest in FPA buffers Harvest of other hardwoods within 100 ft	2
4	No harvest in FPA buffers No harvest of other hardwoods within 100 ft	Harvest in FPA buffers No harvest of other hardwoods within 100 ft	3

Leave Tree Strategies

- 1) Leave Oregon Forest Practices Act minimums (2 small TPA).
- 2) Leave 2 large TPA.
- 3) Leave 5 TPA, according to State Forest Plan guidelines.
- 4) Leave 14 TPA according to State Forest Plan guidelines.
- 5) Leave clumps of trees (BSUs, as a percentage of land area) uncut.

Transition Probabilities

After clearcutting, each BSU within a clearcut unit has a probability of being regenerated as one of four types: open, hardwood, mixed, or conifer.

Probability = f (previous forest type, owner, ecoregion, distance from stream)

Transition Probabilities after	Clearcutting			×			
Coastal Ecoregion Interior and Foothills Ecoregions							
Transition Probabilities after Clearcutting							
Coastal Ecoregion Forest Industry - High Management Intensity							
	Distance from stream (m)						
	0-50	51-100	101-150	151+			
From hardwood to:							
Open / semi-closed Hardwood Mixed Conifer Tota	0.10 0.10 0.30 0.50	0.05 0.10 0.25 0.60 1.00	0.05 0.10 0.15 0.70 1.00	0.03 0.07 0.10 0.80 1.00			
From mixed to:							
Open / semi-closed Hardwood Mixed Conifer Tota	0.05 0.10 0.25 0.60 1.00	0.05 0.05 0.20 0.70 1.00	0.02 0.03 0.15 0.80 1.00	0.02 0.03 0.05 0.90 1.00			
From conifer to:							
Open / semi-closed Hardwood Mixed Conifer Tota	0.05 0.05 0.15 0.75 1.00	0.02 0.03 0.10 0.85 1.00	0.02 0.03 0.05 0.90 1.00	0.01 0.01 0.03 0.95 1.00			

Stochastic Events

During the scheduling process, we model small gap disturbances by examining each BSU and determining whether or not to "regenerate" it (and not "harvesting" the volume).

Disturbance = f (BSU size, distance from stream)

X 🛖 Stochastic Gap Disturbances Probability of Disturbance by BSU Size **BSU** size Riparian Upland probability (pixels) probability 0.0045 0.0045 1 2 0.0045 0.0045 3 0.0045 0.0045 4 0.0045 0.0045 5 0.0045 0.0045 6 0.0045 0.0045 7 0.0045 0.0045 8 0.0045 0.0045 0.0045 0.0045 9 10 0.0045 0.0045 11 0.0045 0.0045 12 0.0045 0.0045 13 0.0045 0.0045 14 0.0045 0.0045 15 0.0045 0.0045 16 0.0045 0.0045 17 0.0045 0.0045 18 0.0045 0.0045 19 0.0045 0.0045 20 0.0045 0.0045 21 0.0045 0.0045 22 0.0045 0.0045 23 0.0045 0.0045 24 0.0045 0.0045 25 0.0045 0.0045 26 0.0045 0.0045 27 0.0045 0.0045 28 0.0045 0.0045 29 0.0045 0.0045 30 0.0045 0.0045 31 0.0045 0.0045

0.0045

0.0045

32

Simulation Results, projection year 2045

Non-forest Woodland Water Regeneration Broadleaf Small mixed Medium mixed Large mixed Very large mixed Small conifer Medium conifer Large conifer Very large conifer



Simulation Results, projection year 2070

Non-forest Woodland Water Regeneration Broadleaf Small mixed Medium mixed Large mixed Very large mixed Small conifer Medium conifer Large conifer Very large conifer



Simulation Results, projection year 2095

Non-forest Woodland Water Regeneration Broadleaf Small mixed Medium mixed Large mixed Very large mixed Small conifer Medium conifer Large conifer Very large conifer



Summary

The LAMPS simulation model is a spatial simulation model of landowner management behavior, and is associated with a quantitative projection of stand structures.

It was designed to help policy makers and land managers "think through" forest policies before implementing them.

It facilitates spatial analysis of biological effects of policies (using wildlife habitat suitability models).

Questions

